

Conformal auxiliary "massless" spin-2 field in de Sitter space

M. Elmizadeh^{1,*}

¹*Department of Physics, Science and Research branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran*

(Dated: February 6, 2015)

Respecting the group theoretical approach, it is discussed that the linear conformal gravity can be written in terms of a mixed symmetry tensor field of rank-3 [1]. previously, related field equation was obtained in de Sitter space [2]. It was proved that this kind of tensor field in de Sitter background associates with two unitary irreducible representations (UIR) of the de Sitter group. The important fact is that one of the them has a flat limit, namely, in zero curvature coincides to the UIR of Poincaré group which has been studied in Ref [3]. However, the second one which is named as auxiliary field, becomes significant in the study of conformal gravity in de Sitter background. In this paper we will study the second representation in details by finding its solutions and also the conformally invariant two-point function.

I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays it is believed that de Sitter (dS) space could potentially describe our universe and the importance dS is that it plays the important role in the inflationary scenario of the early universe [4]. The isometry group of the dS in four dimensions is $SO(1,4)$, with the same degrees of symmetry as the Minkowskian space. This high level of symmetry of dS may be used as a guideline to study the various kind of field in this curved background which is established by the means of the group theory. This is followed by the fact that in flat space, according to Wigner's interpretation of the elementary systems, one can find a close relationship between the field operators of any kind of spin and the unitary irreducible representation of the Poincaré group as the kinematical group of Minkowski space. As a matter of fact the corresponding field equations are established by the Casimir operators of the background isometry group. Extension this fact to dS is straightforward, namely the Casimir operators of the dS group can be used to find the proper field equations where, in the present study using this method, we find the field equations of the massless field of spin-2 in de Sitter space and as it is shown finding the proper solutions and two-point functions are somehow easier than the other methods. Study of the massless spin-2 particle is important because of its significant role in the quantum cosmology and quantum gravity. In Minkowski space, the massless field equations are supposed to be conformal invariant (CI) and for every massless representation of Poincaré group there exists only one corresponding representation in the conformal group [5, 6]. We consider field equations that the corresponding fields associate with the UIRs of the de Sitter isometry group $SO(1,4)$. On the other hand being conformal invariance could be achieved by demanding the field equations and the solutions should also be transformed according to the conformal group $SO(2,4)$. In this way the Casimir operators and the corresponding eigenvalues play the key roles. Previously, Barut and Böhm have shown that for the unitary irreducible representations of conformal group, the eigenvalue of conformal Casimir operator is 9 [5], this value belongs to a mixed symmetry tensor field of rank-3. An interesting point arises in the work of Binegar et al [1] where they showed that for any tensor field of rank-2 this value becomes 8. It means that the tensor field of rank-2 does not correspond to any UIR of conformal group. This says that, linear conformal quantum gravity must be formulated in terms of a tensor field of rank-3 and mixed symmetry with conformal degree zero [1]. Mixed symmetry means that $\Psi_{abc} = -\Psi_{bac}$, $\sum_{cycl} \Psi_{abc} = 0$, while a field of conformal degree zero satisfies $u^d \partial_d \Psi_{abc} = 0$.

*Electronic address: elmizadeh@piaau.ac.ir

On the other hand according to the group representation theory and its Wigner theorem, a desired CI theory of the spin-2 field should also be invariant under its space-time symmetry group. So we are interested in extending this group theoretical content to de Sitter space as well as a space-time symmetry group. Quantum field theory in dS space has developed as a very important subject studied by many authors over the past decade [7].

It is proved that the theory of massless spin-2 field equations are described by two representation of the de Sitter group in the discrete series which are denoted by $\Pi_{2,2}^{\pm}$ and $\Pi_{2,1}^{\pm}$. Only $\Pi_{2,2}^{\pm}$ coincides to the UIR of Poincaré group, in the flat limit, the other one, $\Pi_{2,1}^{\pm}$, becomes important in the study of conformal gravity. In Ref. [2] in de Sitter background, the conformally invariant field equation for a "massless" spin-2 field of rank-3 was obtained. In this paper we are going to study $\Pi_{2,1}^{\pm}$, which is named as auxiliary fields.

The organization of this paper is as follows: In section II, we introduce the notations of the de Sitter ambient formalism. CI massless wave equations for rank-3 field in dS ambient notation and the corresponding solution is considered in section III, and also CI bi-tensor two point function $\mathcal{W}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\alpha'\beta'\gamma'}(x, x')$ has been calculated in terms of a conformally coupled scalar two-point function, $\mathcal{W}_c(\mathcal{Z})$. Finally, in section V the results of the paper are presented for further investigation. Some useful mathematical details of calculations are given in the appendix.

II. DE SITTER SPACE

De Sitter space-time can be viewed by a 4-dimensional hyperboloid embedded in 5-dimensional flat spacetime:

$$X_H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^5; x^2 = \eta_{\alpha\beta}x^\alpha x^\beta = -H^{-2} = -\frac{3}{\Lambda}\}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad (\text{II.1})$$

where $\eta_{\alpha\beta} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1, -1)$ and H, Λ are the Hubble parameter and cosmological constant respectively. The dS metric is

$$ds^2 = \eta_{\alpha\beta}dx^\alpha dx^\beta \big|_{x^2=-H^{-2}} = g_{\mu\nu}^{dS}dX^\mu dX^\nu, \quad \mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$$

where X^μ 's are 4 space-time intrinsic coordinates of the dS hyperboloid. Any geometrical object in this space can be written in terms of both four local coordinates X^μ (intrinsic space notation) and five global coordinates x^α (ambient space notation). Kinematical group of the de Sitter space is the 10-parameter group $SO_0(1, 4)$ (connected component of the identity in $O(1, 4)$), which is one of the two possible deformations of the Poincaré group. For simplicity, one can put $H = 1$.

In the following the ambient space notation is used; in these notation, the relationship with unitary irreducible representations of de Sitter group becomes straightforward because the Casimir operators are easily identifiable [8]. There are two Casimir operators (Appendix)

$$Q_3^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2}L^{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha\beta}, \quad Q_3^{(2)} = -W_\alpha W^\alpha, \quad (\text{II.2})$$

where $W_\alpha = -\frac{1}{8}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\sigma\eta}L^{\beta\gamma}L^{\sigma\eta}$, with 10 infinitesimal generator $L_{\alpha\beta} = M_{\alpha\beta} + S_{\alpha\beta}$ and the symbol $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\sigma\eta}$ holds for the usual antisymmetric tensor. The subscript 3 in $Q_3^{(1)}$ and $Q_3^{(2)}$ reminds us that the carrier space is constituted by third rank tensors. The orbital part $M_{\alpha\beta}$, and the action of the spinorial part $S_{\alpha\beta}$ on a rank-3 tensor field $F_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ are defined on the ambient space, read respectively [9]

$$M_{\alpha\beta} = -i(x_\alpha\partial_\beta - x_\beta\partial_\alpha) = -i(x_\alpha\bar{\partial}_\beta - x_\beta\bar{\partial}_\alpha),$$

$$S_{\alpha\beta}F_{\gamma\delta\sigma} = -i(\eta_{\alpha\gamma}F_{\beta\delta\sigma} - \eta_{\beta\gamma}F_{\alpha\delta\sigma} + \eta_{\alpha\delta}F_{\gamma\beta\sigma} - \eta_{\beta\delta}F_{\gamma\alpha\sigma} + \eta_{\alpha\sigma}F_{\gamma\delta\beta} - \eta_{\beta\sigma}F_{\gamma\delta\alpha}). \quad (\text{II.3})$$

$\bar{\partial}_\alpha$ is the tangential (or transverse) derivative on dS space defined by

$$\bar{\partial}_\alpha = \theta_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\beta = \partial_\alpha + x_\alpha x \cdot \partial, \quad x \cdot \bar{\partial} = 0, \quad (\text{II.4})$$

and $\theta_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta} + x_\alpha x_\beta$ is the transverse projector.

As we know the operator $Q_3^{(1)}$ commutes with the action of the group generators and, so it is constant in each UIR. Thus the eigenvalues of $Q_3^{(1)}$ can be used to classify UIR *i.e.*,

$$(Q_3^{(1)} - \langle Q_3^{(1)} \rangle) F(x) = 0. \quad (\text{II.5})$$

We have a classification scheme using a pair (p, q) of parameters involved in the following possible spectral values of the Casimir operator [10]:

$$Q_p^{(1)} = (-p(p+1) - (q+1)(q-2))I_d, \quad Q_p^{(2)} = (-p(p+1)q(q-1))I_d. \quad (\text{II.6})$$

Three types of scalar, tensorial or spinorial UIR are distinguished for $SO(1,4)$ according to the range of values of the parameters q and p [10, 11], namely: the principal, the complementary and the discrete series. The spin-2 tensor representations are in the following relations:

- 1) The UIR $U^{2,\nu}$ in the principal series where $p = s = 2$ and $q = \frac{1}{2} + i\nu$ correspond to the Casimir spectral values:

$$\langle Q_3^{(\nu)} \rangle = \nu^2 - \frac{15}{4}, \quad \nu \in R, \quad (\text{II.7})$$

note that $U^{2,\nu}$ and $U^{2,nu}$ are equivalent.

- 2) The UIR $V^{2,q}$ in the complementary series where $p = s = 2$ and $q - q^2 = \mu$, correspond to

$$\langle Q_3^{(\mu)} \rangle = q - q^2 - 4 \equiv \mu - 4, \quad 0 < \mu < \frac{1}{4}. \quad (\text{II.8})$$

- 3) The UIR $\Pi_{2,q}^\pm$ in the discrete series where $p = s = 2$ correspond to

$$\langle Q_3^{(1)} \rangle = -4, \quad q = 1(\Pi_{2,1}^\pm) \quad \langle Q_3^{(2)} \rangle = -6, \quad q = 2(\Pi_{2,2}^\pm), \quad (\text{II.9})$$

The "massless" spin-2 field in de Sitter spacetime corresponds to the $\Pi_{2,2}^\pm$ and $\Pi_{2,1}^\pm$ cases, in which the sign $\pm$, stands for the helicity of the representation. The $\Pi_{2,2}^\pm$, in the discrete series with $p = q = 2$, have a Minkowskian interpretation. It is important to note that p and q do not bear the meaning of mass and spin, and for discrete series in flat limit, $p = q = s$ are indeed none other than spin. It is necessary to explain about concept of mass in dS space, the term "massless" is referred to fields that propagate on light-cone and the term "massive" is referred to field that in their zero curvature limit would be reduced to massive Minkowskian fields [5]. Concept of light-cone propagation, however, does exist and leads to the conformal invariance. The representation $\Pi_{2,2}^+$ has a unique extension to a direct sum of two representations $C(3; 2, 0)$ and $C(-3; 2, 0)$ of the conformal group, with positive and negative energies respectively [5, 12]. The latter restricts to the massless Poincaré UIR $P^>(0, 2)$ and $P^<(0, 2)$ with positive and negative energies respectively. $\mathcal{P}^>(0, 2)$ and (*resp.* $\mathcal{P}^<(0, -2)$) are the massless Poincaré representations with positive and negative energies and positive (*resp.* negative) helicity. The following diagrams illustrate these connections

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \mathcal{C}(3, 2, 0) & & \mathcal{C}(3, 2, 0) & \leftrightarrow \mathcal{P}^>(0, 2) \\ \Pi_{2,2}^+ & \hookrightarrow & \oplus & \xrightarrow{H=0} & \oplus \\ & \mathcal{C}(-3, 2, 0) & & \mathcal{C}(-3, 2, 0) & \leftrightarrow \mathcal{P}^<(0, 2), \end{array} \quad (\text{II.10})$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& \mathcal{C}(3, 0, 2) & & \mathcal{C}(3, 0, 2) & \leftrightarrow \mathcal{P}^>(0, -2) \\
\Pi_{2,2}^- \hookrightarrow & \oplus & \xrightarrow{H=0} & \oplus & \oplus \\
& \mathcal{C}(-3, 0, 2) & & \mathcal{C}(-3, 0, 2) & \leftrightarrow \mathcal{P}^<(0, -2),
\end{array} \tag{II.11}$$

where the arrows $\hookrightarrow$ designate unique extension. Note that the representations $\Pi_{2,1}^\pm$ do not have corresponding flat limit. Mathematical details of the group contraction and the physical principles underlying the relationship between dS and Poincaré groups can be found in Ref.s [12] and [13], respectively.

III. CONFORMALLY INVARIANT FIELD EQUATIONS

The equations of massless fields are CI, a trivial example is a photon field which satisfies conformally invariant Maxwell equation [14]. In this way the field equations for a massless spin-2 tensor field should be CI. To view this fact from the group theory one knows that the conformal group acts non-linearly on Minkowski coordinates, so that preserving conformal invariance property is difficult. A rather simple method proposed by Dirac who presented a manifestly conformally covariant formulation in which the Minkowski coordinates are replaced by coordinates on which the conformal group acts linearly. In this method the theory is formulated on a 5-dimensional hyper-cone in a 6-dimensional space that named Dirac's six cone [15]. Within this formalism, the scalar, spinor and vector conformally invariant fields in $d = 4$ were obtained by him. This theory developed in some papers [16–18], the generalization to dS space was done in [19, 20] to obtain CI field equations for scalar, vector and symmetric rank-2 tensor fields. Relegating the mathematical details to the references, let us write the CI wave equation for the tensor field of rank-3 from Ref. [2]

$$(Q_3 + 6)(Q_3 + 4)F_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0$$

where Q_3 is the Casimir operator of dS group (it is introduced by (II.2)). This equation can be considered as two equation of order two

$$(Q_3 + 6)F_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0, \quad \text{transforms according to } \Pi_{2,2}^\pm,$$

$$(Q_3 + 4)F_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0, \quad \text{transforms according to } \Pi_{2,1}^\pm.$$

In the flat limit ($H \rightarrow 0$), $\Pi_{2,2}^\pm$ coincides to the UIR of the Poincaré group, but $\Pi_{2,1}^\pm$ does not have any corresponding Poincaré representation, however both representations become important in the study of the CI field equation in dS space. Studying the solutions corresponding to $\Pi_{2,2}^\pm$ has been done before [3], but in this paper we consider the fields which transform according to $\Pi_{2,1}^\pm$, here, the fields are named as auxiliary fields, since there is no flat limit to them.

de Sitter field solution

In this section we find the solution of the following field equation

$$(Q_0 - 2)F_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad (Q_3 + 4)F_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0, \tag{III.1}$$

The general solution of the above equation can be written as [3]

$$F_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = (\bar{\partial}_\alpha + x_\alpha)\mathcal{K}_{\beta\gamma} - (\bar{\partial}_\beta + x_\beta)\mathcal{K}_{\alpha\gamma} + \bar{Z}_\alpha\mathcal{H}_{\beta\gamma} - \bar{Z}_\beta\mathcal{H}_{\alpha\gamma}, \tag{III.2}$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha\beta}$ are two tensor fields of rank-2, Z is a constant 5-dimensional vector and bar over this vector guarantees the transversality (see (II.4)). Imposing the transversality, divergencelessness and tracelessness conditions on $F_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, leads one to write

$$\mathcal{K}_{\beta\gamma} = \left(-\frac{1}{2}(x \cdot Z) + \frac{1}{8}(Z \cdot \bar{\partial}) \right) \mathcal{H}_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{1}{8} \left(x_\beta Z \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\cdot\gamma} + x_\gamma Z \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\beta\cdot} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \mathcal{D}_{\alpha\beta}(x, \partial, Z_1, Z_2)\phi, \quad (\text{III.3})$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}(x, \partial, Z_1, Z_2) = \left(-\frac{2}{3}\theta Z_1 + \mathcal{S}\bar{Z}_1 + \frac{1}{3}D_2 \left[\frac{1}{9}D_1 Z_1 + x \cdot Z_1 \right] \right) (\bar{Z}_2 + D_1(x \cdot Z_2)), \quad (\text{III.4})$$

where $\mathcal{S}$ is symmetrizer operator, $D_1 = \bar{\partial}$, $D_2 = \mathcal{S}(\bar{\partial} - x)$ and Z_1, Z_2 are another two 5-dimensional constant vectors and ϕ is a massless conformally coupled scalar field. The important fact is that we could write the solution in terms of a massless scalar field in de Sitter space. In what follows we will find the two-point function

two-point function

We find the related two-point functions in dS space in terms of the bi-tensors [21]. Actually the bi-tensors are functions of two points (x, x') which behave like tensors under each coordinate. Bi-tensors are called maximally symmetric if they respect to the de Sitter invariance. Furthermore, as explained in [22] and [23], the axiomatic field theory in de Sitter is based on bi-tensor Wightman two-point function. This two-point function is defined by

$$\mathcal{W}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\alpha'\beta'\gamma'}(x, x') = \langle \Omega | F_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x) F_{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'}(x') | \Omega \rangle, \quad (\text{III.5})$$

where $x, x' \in X_H$ and $|\Omega\rangle$ is the Fock-vacuum state. The two-point function which is a solution of the Eq. (III.1) with respect to x and x' , can be written in terms of transverse two-point functions, $\mathcal{W}_{\beta\gamma\beta'\gamma'}^{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\beta\gamma\beta'\gamma'}^{\mathcal{H}}$, which will be identified later. We have

$$\mathcal{W}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\alpha'\beta'\gamma'}(x, x') = \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\alpha\beta}(\bar{\partial}_\alpha + x_\alpha) \left(\bar{\mathcal{S}}'_{\alpha'\beta'}(\bar{\partial}'_{\alpha'} + x'_{\alpha'}) \mathcal{W}_{\beta\gamma\beta'\gamma'}^{\mathcal{K}}(x, x') \right) + \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}'_{\alpha'\beta'} \left((\theta_\alpha \cdot \theta'_{\alpha'}) \mathcal{W}_{\beta\gamma\beta'\gamma'}^{\mathcal{H}}(x, x') \right), \quad (\text{III.6})$$

where anti-symmetrizer operator is defined by $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \mathcal{K}_{\alpha\beta} - \mathcal{K}_{\beta\alpha}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{S}}'_{\alpha'\beta'} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha'\beta'} \equiv \mathcal{K}_{\alpha'\beta'} - \mathcal{K}_{\beta'\alpha'}$ which we use them to get shorthand equations. After some calculation we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{S}}'_{\alpha'\beta'}(\bar{\partial}'_{\alpha'} + x'_{\alpha'}) \mathcal{W}_{\beta\gamma\beta'\gamma'}^{\mathcal{K}}(x, x') &= \bar{\mathcal{S}}'_{\alpha'\beta'} \left(-\frac{1}{2}(x \cdot \theta'_{\alpha'}) + \frac{1}{8}(\theta'_{\alpha'} \cdot \bar{\partial}) \right) \mathcal{W}_{\beta\gamma\beta'\gamma'}^{\mathcal{H}}(x, x') \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8} \bar{\mathcal{S}}'_{\alpha'\beta'} \left(x_\beta \theta'_{\alpha'} \cdot \mathcal{W}_{\gamma\beta'\gamma'}^{\mathcal{H}}(x, x') + x_\gamma \theta'_{\alpha'} \cdot \mathcal{W}_{\beta\gamma\beta'\gamma'}^{\mathcal{H}}(x, x') \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.7})$$

and also $\mathcal{W}_{\beta\gamma\beta'\gamma'}^{\mathcal{H}}$ was derived in [24]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{\beta\gamma\beta'\gamma'}^{\mathcal{H}}(x, x') &= -\frac{2}{3} \mathcal{S}' \theta \theta' \cdot (\theta \cdot \theta' + D_1(\theta' \cdot x)) + \mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}' \theta \cdot \theta' (\theta \cdot \theta' + D_1(\theta' \cdot x)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} D_2 \mathcal{S}' \left(\frac{1}{9} D_1 \theta' \cdot x + x \cdot \theta' \right) (\theta \cdot \theta' + D_1(\theta' \cdot x)) \mathcal{W}_c(x, x'), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.8})$$

$\mathcal{W}_c(x, x')$ is the two-point function for a conformally coupled scalar field [25]:

$$\mathcal{W}_c(x, x') = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left[\mathcal{P} \frac{1}{1 - \mathcal{Z}(x, x')} - i\pi \epsilon(x^0 - x'^0) \delta(1 - \mathcal{Z}(x, x')) \right], \quad (\text{III.9})$$

with

$$\epsilon(x^0 - x'^0) = \begin{cases} 1 & x^0 > x'^0, \\ 0 & x^0 = x'^0, \\ -1 & x^0 < x'^0. \end{cases} \quad (\text{III.10})$$

In some cases of interest it is useful to express the relations in terms of $\mathcal{Z}$ which is an invariant object under the isometry group $O(1,4)$. It is defined for two given points on the dS hyperboloid x and x' , by:

$$\mathcal{Z} \equiv -x \cdot x' = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(x - x')^2,$$

note that any function of $\mathcal{Z}$ is dS-invariant, as well. It is the work of a lot of lines to show that (III.6) in terms of $\mathcal{Z}$ becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\alpha'\beta'\gamma'}(x, x') = & \frac{1}{216} \bar{S}'_{\alpha'\beta'} \bar{S}_{\alpha\beta} S'_{\beta'\gamma'} S_{\beta\gamma} \left[\theta_{\beta\gamma} \theta'_{\beta'\gamma'} (\theta_{\alpha} \cdot \theta'_{\alpha'}) \left(-80 + 57\mathcal{Z} \frac{d}{d\mathcal{Z}} \right) + \right. \\ & x_{\beta} \theta_{\alpha\gamma} \theta'_{\beta'\gamma'} (x \cdot \theta'_{\alpha'}) \left(64 - 34\mathcal{Z} \frac{d}{d\mathcal{Z}} \right) + (x' \cdot \theta_{\alpha}) (x \cdot \theta'_{\alpha'}) \theta_{\beta\gamma} \theta'_{\beta'\gamma'} \left(\frac{68\mathcal{Z} + (-30 - 114\mathcal{Z}^2) \frac{d}{d\mathcal{Z}}}{1 - \mathcal{Z}^2} \right) + \\ & \theta'_{\beta'\gamma'} (\theta_{\alpha} \cdot \theta'_{\alpha'}) (x' \cdot \theta_{\beta}) (x \cdot \theta_{\gamma}) \left(\frac{-186 - 276\mathcal{Z} \frac{d}{d\mathcal{Z}}}{1 - \mathcal{Z}^2} \right) + x_{\beta} \theta'_{\beta'\gamma'} (x \cdot \theta'_{\alpha'}) (x' \cdot \theta_{\alpha}) (x' \cdot \theta_{\gamma}) \left(\frac{-68 + 140\mathcal{Z} \frac{d}{d\mathcal{Z}}}{1 - \mathcal{Z}^2} \right) + \\ & (\theta_{\alpha} \cdot \theta'_{\alpha'}) (\theta_{\beta} \cdot \theta'_{\beta'}) (\theta_{\gamma} \cdot \theta'_{\gamma'}) \left(\frac{(152 - 156\mathcal{Z}^2) + (7\mathcal{Z} - 31\mathcal{Z}^3) \frac{d}{d\mathcal{Z}}}{1 - \mathcal{Z}^2} \right) + \\ & x_{\beta} (x \cdot \theta'_{\alpha'}) (\theta_{\alpha} \cdot \theta'_{\beta'}) (\theta_{\gamma} \cdot \theta'_{\gamma'}) \left(\frac{(-456 + 452\mathcal{Z}^2) + (-9\mathcal{Z} - 15\mathcal{Z}^3) \frac{d}{d\mathcal{Z}}}{1 - \mathcal{Z}^2} \right) + \\ & \theta_{\alpha\beta} (x \cdot \theta'_{\alpha'}) (x \cdot \theta'_{\beta'}) (\theta_{\gamma} \cdot \theta'_{\gamma'}) \left(\frac{(-880 + 1960\mathcal{Z}^2 - 938\mathcal{Z}^4) + (-278\mathcal{Z} - 700\mathcal{Z}^3 - 374\mathcal{Z}^5) \frac{d}{d\mathcal{Z}}}{(1 - \mathcal{Z}^2)^2} \right) + \\ & (x \cdot \theta'_{\alpha'}) (x' \cdot \theta_{\alpha}) (\theta_{\gamma} \cdot \theta'_{\gamma'}) (\theta_{\beta} \cdot \theta'_{\beta'}) \left(\frac{(-38\mathcal{Z} + 94\mathcal{Z}^3) + (-135 + 710\mathcal{Z}^2 - 427\mathcal{Z}^4) \frac{d}{d\mathcal{Z}}}{(1 - \mathcal{Z}^2)^2} \right) + \\ & x_{\alpha} (x \cdot \theta'_{\alpha'}) (x \cdot \theta'_{\gamma'}) (x' \cdot \theta_{\gamma}) (\theta_{\beta} \cdot \theta'_{\beta'}) \left(\frac{(-74\mathcal{Z} + 50\mathcal{Z}^3) + (300 - 760\mathcal{Z}^2 + 412\mathcal{Z}^4) \frac{d}{d\mathcal{Z}}}{(1 - \mathcal{Z}^2)^2} \right) + \\ & x_{\alpha} \theta'_{\beta'\gamma'} (\theta'_{\alpha'} \cdot \theta_{\beta}) (x \cdot \theta_{\gamma}) \left(75 \frac{d}{d\mathcal{Z}} \right) + \\ & (x \cdot \theta'_{\beta'}) (x' \cdot \theta_{\beta}) (x \cdot \theta'_{\gamma'}) (x' \cdot \theta_{\gamma}) (\theta_{\alpha} \cdot \theta'_{\alpha'}) \left(\frac{(-526 + 1592\mathcal{Z}^2 - 874\mathcal{Z}^4) + (-806\mathcal{Z} + 2862\mathcal{Z}^3 - 1648\mathcal{Z}^5) \frac{d}{d\mathcal{Z}}}{(1 - \mathcal{Z}^2)^3} \right) + \\ & \left. \left(\frac{(208 - 112\mathcal{Z}^2) + (464\mathcal{Z} - 272\mathcal{Z}^3) \frac{d}{d\mathcal{Z}}}{1 - \mathcal{Z}^2} \right) \right] \mathcal{W}_c(\mathcal{Z}). \end{aligned} \tag{III.11}$$

IV. CONCLUSION

It was shown that linear conformal gravity may be understood by the means of the group theory in de Sitter and flat backgrounds, where the isometry group Poincaré of de Sitter groups play the role of the space time symmetry group. As one knows, being the conformal invariance may also be understood as the invariance of the conformal group. This imposes a constraint on the field equations and the solutions. Namely it is proved that only a mixed symmetric tensor field of rank three can potentially describe the gravity [1]. Previously, in Ref. [2] CI field equation for this tensor field of rank-3 in dS space by the means of the Dirac six-cone formalism has been obtained as following

$$(Q_3 + 4)(Q_3 + 6)F_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0.$$

This field equation leads to two equations: $(Q_3 + 6)F_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0$ which has been studied in [3], and $(Q_3 + 4)F_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0$, which studied in this paper. We found the solutions also two-point function, these are found in terms of the massless conformally coupled scalar two point function. It was shown that the two-point function is dS and conformal invariant, and it may be used in obtain quantum gravity two point function.

Appendix A: Some useful relations

In this appendix, some useful relations are given. The action of Casimir operators of de Sitter group Q_1 (vector Casimir operator), Q_2 and Q_3 (Casimir operator for the rank-2 and rank-3 tensor

field respectively) can be written in the more explicit form [26]

$$Q_1 K_\alpha = (Q_0 - 2)K_\alpha + 2x_\alpha \partial \cdot K - 2\partial_\alpha x \cdot K, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$Q_2 \mathcal{K}_{\alpha\beta} = (Q_0 - 6)\mathcal{K}_{\alpha\beta} + 2Sx_\alpha \partial \cdot \mathcal{K}_\beta - 2S\partial_\alpha x \cdot \mathcal{K}_\beta + 2\eta_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{K}', \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where $\mathcal{K}' = \mathcal{K}_\alpha^\alpha$.

$$Q_3 F_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = (Q_0 - 6)F_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + 2\left(\eta_{\alpha\beta} F_{..\gamma} + \eta_{\alpha\gamma} F_{.\beta} + \eta_{\beta\gamma} F_{\alpha..}\right) + 2\left(x_\alpha \bar{\partial} \cdot F_{.\beta\gamma} + x_\beta \bar{\partial} \cdot F_{\alpha.\gamma} + x_\gamma \bar{\partial} \cdot F_{\alpha\beta.}\right) - 2\left(\partial_\alpha x \cdot F_{.\beta\gamma} + \partial_\beta x \cdot F_{\alpha.\gamma} + \partial_\gamma x \cdot F_{\alpha\beta.}\right) - 2\left(F_{\alpha\gamma\beta} + F_{\beta\alpha\gamma} + F_{\gamma\beta\alpha}\right), \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where $F_{..\gamma} = F_{\alpha\alpha\gamma}$. After imposing mixed symmetry condition on $F_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, above relation becomes

$$Q_3 F_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = (Q_0 - 4)F_{\alpha\beta\gamma} - 2F_{\beta\gamma\alpha} - 2F_{\gamma\alpha\beta} + 2\left(\eta_{\alpha\beta} F_{..\gamma} + \eta_{\alpha\gamma} F_{.\beta} + \eta_{\beta\gamma} F_{\alpha..}\right) + 2\left(x_\alpha \bar{\partial} \cdot F_{.\beta\gamma} + x_\beta \bar{\partial} \cdot F_{\alpha.\gamma} + x_\gamma \bar{\partial} \cdot F_{\alpha\beta.}\right). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

To obtain the two-point function, the following identities are used

$$\bar{\partial}_\alpha f(\mathcal{Z}) = -(x' \cdot \theta_\alpha) \frac{df(\mathcal{Z})}{d(\mathcal{Z})}, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\theta^{\alpha\beta} \theta'_{\alpha\beta} = \theta \cdot \theta' = 3 + \mathcal{Z}^2, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$(x \cdot \theta'_{\alpha'}) (x \cdot \theta'^{\alpha'}) = \mathcal{Z}^2 - 1, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$(x \cdot \theta'_\alpha) (x' \cdot \theta^\alpha) = \mathcal{Z}(1 - \mathcal{Z}^2), \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$\bar{\partial}_\alpha (x \cdot \theta'^{\beta'}) = \theta_\alpha \cdot \theta'^{\beta'}, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$\bar{\partial}_\alpha (x' \cdot \theta_\beta) = x_\beta (x' \cdot \theta_\alpha) - \mathcal{Z} \theta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$\bar{\partial}_\alpha (\theta_\beta \cdot \theta'_{\beta'}) = x_\beta (\theta_\alpha \cdot \theta'_{\beta'}) + \theta_{\alpha\beta} (x \cdot \theta'_{\beta'}), \quad (\text{A.11})$$

$$\theta'^{\beta'}_{\alpha'} (x' \cdot \theta_\beta) = -\mathcal{Z} (x \cdot \theta'^{\alpha'}), \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$\theta'^{\gamma}_{\alpha'} (\theta_\gamma \cdot \theta'_{\beta'}) = \theta'_{\alpha'\beta'} + (x \cdot \theta'^{\alpha'}) (x \cdot \theta'^{\beta'}), \quad (\text{A.13})$$

$$Q_0 f(\mathcal{Z}) = (1 - \mathcal{Z}^2) \frac{d^2 f(\mathcal{Z})}{d\mathcal{Z}^2} - 4\mathcal{Z} \frac{df(\mathcal{Z})}{d(\mathcal{Z})}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

- [2] M. V. Takook, M. R. Tanhayi and S. Fatemi, J. Math. Phys. 51 (2010) 032503.
- [3] S. Rahbar Dehghan, H. Pejhan, M. Elmizadeh, Group theoretical approach to graviton two point function, in preparation. arxiv:1406.4417v1 [gr-qc]17 Jun 2014
- [4] A. D. Linde, Harwood Academic Publishers, Chur, Switzerland. (1990) *PARTICLE PHYSICS AND INFLATIONARY COSMOLOGY*.
- [5] A. O. Barut, A. Böhm, J. Math. Phys. 11 (1970) 2938.
- [6] E. Angelopoulos, M. Laoues, Rev. Math. Phys. 10 (1998) 271.
- [7] M.V. Takook , Quantum Field Theory in de Sitter Universe: Ambient Space Formalism, arxiv:1403.1204v2 [gr-qc]19 Mar 2014.
- [8] J. P. Gazeau, Lett. Math. Phys. 8 (1984) 507.
- [9] J. P. Gazeau, M. Hans, J. Math. Phys. 29 (1988) 2533.
- [10] J. Dixmier, Bull. Soc. Math. France. 89 (1961) 9.
- [11] B. Takahashi, Bull. Soc. Math. France. 91 (1963) 289.
- [12] M. Levy-Nahas, J. Math. Phys. 8 (1967) 1211.
- [13] H. Bacry, J. M. Levy-Leblond, J. Math. Phys. 9 (1968) 1605.
- [14] This was first established for the Maxwell equations in: H. Bateman, Proc. London Math. Soc. 7, (1909) 70; E. Cunningham, Proc. London Math. Soc. 8, (1909) 77;
for massless spin 1/2 in: P.A.M. Dirac, Ann. Math. 37, (1936) 429;
and for any spin in: A. McLennan, Nuovo Cim. 3, (1956) 1360; J. S. Lomont, Nuovo Cim. 22, (1961) 673.
- [15] P. A. M. Dirac, Ann. of Math. 36 (1935) 657.
- [16] X. Bekaert, M. Grigoriev, *Manifestly Conformal Descriptions and Higher Symmetries of Bosonic Singletons*, SIGMA 6(2010)038, arXiv:hep-th/0907.3195;
P. Arvidsson, R. Marnelius, *Conformal theories including conformal gravity as gauge theories on the hypercone*, arXiv: hep-th/0612060v1.
- [17] G. Mack and A. Salam, Ann. Phys. 53 (1969) 174.
- [18] H. A. Kastrup, Phys. Rev 150 (1964) 1189; C. R. Preitschop, M. A. Vosiliev, Nucl. Phys. B. 549 (1999) 450.
- [19] S. Behroozi, S. Rouhani, M.V. Takook, M. R. Tanhayi, *Conformally invariant wave-equations and massless fields in de Sitter space time*, Phys. Rev. D 74(2006)124014, arXiv: gr-qc/0512105.
- [20] M. Dehghani, S. Rouhani, M.V. Takook, M. R. Tanhayi, *Conformally invariant massless spin-2 field in the de Sitter universe* , Phys. Rev. D 77(2008)064028, arXiv: 0805.2227.
- [21] B. Allen, T. Jacobson, Comm. Math. Phys. 103 (1986) 669.
- [22] J. Bros and U. Moschella, Rev. Math. Phys. 8 (1996) 327.
- [23] T. Garidi, J. P. Gazeau, S. Rouhani, M. V. Takook, J. Math. Phys. 49 (2008) 032501.
- [24] H. Pejhan, M.R. Tanhayi and M.V. Takook, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 49 (2010) 2263-2277.
- [25] N. A. Chernikov, E. A. Tagirov, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, IX (1968) 109.
- [26] M.V. Takook, H. Pejhan, M.R. Tanhayi, Eur. Phys. J. C. 72 (2012) 2052.